



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,707	11/21/2003	Noriko Minamino	05225.0253	8855
22852 7590 01/08/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T	
			ART UNIT 2166	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/08/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/717,707

Applicant(s)

MINAMINO ET AL.

Examiner

Srirama Channavajjala

Art Unit

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.
2. Examiner acknowledges applicant's amendment filed on 10/18/2006.
3. Claims 1,3-5,7,14,21-22 have been amended [10/18/2006]

Priority

4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on Application SI.No 2002-340041 filed on 22 November 2002 under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), the certified copy has been filed in the Application No. 10/717707, filed on 11/21//2003.

Double Patenting

5. In view of applicant's filed "terminal disclaimer" approved on 11/2/2006, the double patent rejection as set forth in the previous office action is hereby withdrawn.

35 USC § 101

6. In view of applicant's amendment to the claims 1,3-5,7,14,21-22, the rejection under 35 USC § 101 as set forth in the previous office action is hereby withdrawn,

Information Disclosure Statement

7. The information disclosure statement filed on 10/18/2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, and has been considered and a copy is enclosed with this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2166

8. The information disclosure statement filed on 08/12/2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, and has been considered and a copy was enclosed with previous Office Action mailed on 7/18/2006.

9. The information disclosure statement filed on 11/21/2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, and has been considered and a copy was enclosed with previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 1-22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lowry, US Patent No. 5953724, published on Sept 14, 1999.

12. As to claim 1, 21-22, Lowry teaches a system which including 'an apparatus for displaying a hierarchical structure [fig 2A, col 4, line 48-54], displaying a hierarchical structure corresponds to Lowry's fig 2A;

a memory configured to hierarchically store a database [fig 1, element 26], for a plurality of classes having a property, the property of one class in the plurality of classes being inherited to a child class belong to the one class [fig 2A, col 4, line 57-67, col 5,

Art Unit: 2166

line 2-12, 46-48, col 14, line 61-62, col 15, line 11-17, line 50-57, fig 5A]; Lowry is directed to database structure, more specifically arranging nodes in a hierarchical structure having parent-child, relationship as detailed in fig 2A, hierarchical structure corresponds to Lowry's fig 2A; it is further noted that Lowry specifically teaches "node properties table" that including information about each node in the hierarchical relationship as detailed in table 4, therefore, node property whether parent node or child node is integral part of Lowry's teaching., further each node may be an object belongs to specific class[es], because, Lowry suggests chart is built using basic "graphic objects" [col 14, line 61-62], standard objects provided with languages such as Visual C++, Visual Basic and like [see col 14, line 63-64], therefore, inheritance is the concept that when a class of object is defined, any subclass that is defined can inherit the definitions of one or more classes, hence, property of one class in the plurality of classes being inherited to a child class belong to the one class corresponds to Lowry's fig 5A;

a display configured to output at least part of a 'first area of the one class and at least part of a second area of the child class belonging to the one class, the first area including the second area' [col 5, line 66-67, col 6, line 39-43, col 7, line 19-28, col 10, line 15-31], Lowry specifically teaches multiple related hierarchical structure in which specific nodes establishes relationship between other nodes as parent-child relationship, further each node regarded as specific parent class and specific child class as detailed in col 6, line 39-43, col 7, line 19-28, , col 10, line 15-31], it is noted that

Art Unit: 2166

Lowry specifically suggests each class or category of entity are defined in a hierarchical structure for example organizations, people, locations as detailed in col 10, line 15-22.

'an operation unit configured to select the first area or the second area on said display' [[fig 7A, col 12, line 10-14], Lowry specifically teaches number of fields displayed in a specific area[s], for example code may be displayed in a code box element 148 corresponds to first area, while association selection box corresponds to second area on the display, this allows users to select first area i.e., code or association selection box element 146;

'wherein, when said operation unit selects the second area, said display outputs a list of properties of the child class, the list including the property of the one class ' [col 15, line 62-67, col 16, line 1-6], Lowry specifically suggests node properties with respect to key fields in the chart level, also node properties including height of the box, vertical gap, width of the box and like as detailed in col 16, line 1-6.

13. As to claim 2, Lowry disclosed 'display outputs all of the first area including all of the second area' [fig 2A-2B].

14. As to claim 3, Lowry disclosed 'display outputs class information related to the one class or the at least one child class or the child in response to a selection from said operation unit' [col 4, line 61-63]..

Art Unit: 2166

15. As to claim 4, Lowry disclosed 'display outputs a list of properties of the one class when said operation unit selects the first area [col 6, table 1, line 38-41], table 1 specifically suggests list of different class properties including property type, functions.

16. As to the claim 5, Lowry disclosed 'display outputs property information related to one property in the list of properties when said operation unit selects the one property from the list of properties' [table 1-2, col 9, line 28-36].

17. As to claim 6, Lowry disclosed 'display outputs a mark in correspondence with each class of the first area and the second area, and wherein the mark represents that a corresponding class hierarchically includes a child class' [fig 2A-2B, col 9, line 41-48].

18. As to claim 7, Lowry disclosed 'an operation unit configured to indicate whether an area of the child class is displayed in an area of the corresponding class' [fig 2A-2B].

19. As to claim 8, Lowry disclosed 'a status of the mark of the corresponding class of which the area of the child class is displayed is different from a status of the mark of the corresponding class of which the area of the child class is not displayed' [fig 2A-2B, col 9, line 49-58].

Art Unit: 2166

20. As to claim 9, Lowry disclosed 'a status of the mark of the corresponding class of which the child class has an instance is different from a status of the mark of the corresponding class of which the child class does not have an instance' [fig 5A, 2A, col 7, line 48-58].

21. As to claim 10, Lowry disclosed 'display outputs another mark in corresponding with the child class which has the instance' [col 8, line 28-33].

22. As to claim 11, Lowry disclosed 'operation unit selects a class to display direct classes from the plurality of classes, and wherein said display outputs the direct classes to which the class belongs' [col 8, line 38-45].

23. As to claim 12, Lowry disclosed operation unit sets a universal root class commonly including a first hierarchical structure derived from a first root class and a second hierarchical structure derived from a second root class' [fig 2A-2B], Lowry specifically suggests hierarchical structure and hierarchical relationships as detailed in fig 2A-2B..

24. As to claims 13-14, Lowry disclosed 'operation unit sets a retrieval start point to the one class of the first area on said display, and wherein a retrieval object is limited to the child class having the instance' [col 9, line 15-21, fig 2A-2B].

Art Unit: 2166

25. As to claim 15, Lowry disclosed 'the child class inherits at least one property of each of a plurality of parent classes in the plurality of classes stored in said memory' [table 1-2, col 9, line 28-36].

26. As to claim 16-17, Lowry disclosed 'a display status of the child class inheriting at least one property of each of the plurality of parent classes is different from a display status of another child class not inheriting at least one property of each of the plurality of parent classes' [col 15, line 42-53].

27. As to claim 18, Lowry disclosed 'a color of a property in the list of properties of the child class as an inheritance destination class is the same as a color of the parent class having the property as the inheritance source class' [col 15, line 66-67, col 16, line 1-6]..

28. As to claim 19, Lowry disclosed 'operation unit sets a number of hierarchical levels for a plurality of classes at an initialization mode to display the hierarchical structure of the plurality of classes'[fig 2A-2B]..

29. As to claim 20, Lowry disclosed 'operation unit sets an identifier of each class to be expansible displayed in the plurality of classes at the initialization mode' [col 9, line 15-21].

Response to Arguments

30. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/18/2006 with respect to claims 1-22 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, for examiners' response see the discussion below:

a) At page 13, claims 1, applicant argues that Lowry for example, fails to teach the claimed "plurality of classes each having a property, the property of one class in the plurality of classes being inherited to a child class".

As to the argument [a], as best understood by the examiner, Lowry is directed to database structure, more specifically arranging nodes in a hierarchical structure having parent-child, relationship as detailed in fig 2A, hierarchical structure corresponds to Lowry's fig 2A; it is further noted that Lowry specifically teaches "node properties table" that including information about each node in the hierarchical relationship as detailed in table 4, therefore, node property whether parent node or child node is integral part of Lowry's teaching., further each node may be an object belongs to specific class[es], because, Lowry suggests chart is built using basic "graphic objects" [col 14, line 61-62], standard objects provided with languages such as Visual C++, Visual Basic and like [see col 14, line 63-64], therefore, inheritance is the concept that when a class of object is defined, any subclass that is defined can inherit the definitions of one or more classes, hence, property of one class in the plurality of classes being inherited to a child class belong to the one class corresponds to Lowry's fig 5A;

Art Unit: 2166

b) At page 14, claim 1, applicant argues that Lowry is silent as to displaying a list of properties for a "child class" that includes a property of "one class"

As to the argument [b], as best understood by the examiner, Lowry specifically suggests node properties with respect to key fields in the chart level, also node properties including height of the box, vertical gap, width of the box and like as detailed in col 16, line 1-6, it is also noted that "table-1" provides each "node" on a menu associated with specific actions for example as detailed in table -1, therefore, graphical interface allows to display list of nodes and their associated properties particularly, list of node names available from the menu list selection, each node level or fields associated with respective code as detailed in fig 7, col 12, line 8-14.

Examiner applies above arguments to depend claims 2-20.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record

a. US Patent No. 5953724

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srirama Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-4108. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alam, Hosain, T, can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

sc

Patent Examiner.

December 11, 2006.


SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA
PRIMARY EXAMINER